## **Tracey Gillett**

From: Sent: To: Subject: **Bec Brewer** Wednesday, 18 June 2025 12:08 PM enquiry@panels.planningpanels.nsw.gov.au Re: Notice of Panel Meeting - Southern Regional Planning Panel - PPSSTH-469 - WAGGA WAGGA - DA24/0452

Hi,

Can I please submit this information to the panel.

There appears to be several discrepancies with the "decommissioning" of this proposal.

Page 19 if the SSE 28/10/24 states "it is the intention to return the land to agricultural use following decommissioning in 40 years."

## To minimise the fragmentation and alienation of resource lands.

The proposal does not result in the fragmentation of land but will minimise the ability for the land to be used for agriculture. The land is not proposed to be further subdivided, with the bulk of the property to remain in agricultural production. It is the intention to return the land to agricultural use following decommissioning in 40 years.

## To minimise conflict between land uses within this zone and land uses within adjoining zones.

The proposal demonstrates minimal potential for land use conflicts. Its location within an area consistently zoned RU1 Primary Production ensures compatibility with surrounding land uses. The solar facility's design and operation have been carefully considered to integrate with the existing rural landscape, minimising

Green Gold Energy Pty. Ltd 30534200 | 2 | 28 October 2024 | Arup Pty Limited Solar Farm & BESS - 1000 Burkes Creek Road. The Rock Statement of Environmental Effects

Page 8 in the full panel report states the lifespan of 30 years after which the facility may be upgraded with new technology or will be decommissioned

Page 19

The project lifespan for the facility is approximately 30 years after which the facility may be upgraded with new technology or will be decommissioned and the land made available for another land use consistent with the rural zoning of the land.

The installation of the color form would not inhibit the future notential of the level to be

So it appears the application has listed 40 years and this has not been address in the Planning Report as it states 30 year with an option of new development?

So Id like consideration and clarification please of these discrepancies, which of the 3 options it actually is. Obviously it would be concerning if the SEE is correct and its truly 40 years before the company or landowner need to undertake and removal of the infrastructure.

Thank you Rebecca Brewer

From: enquiry@panels.planningpanels.nsw.gov.au Sent: Wednesday, 4 June 2025 9:55 AM

To:

Subject: Notice of Panel Meeting - Southern Regional Planning Panel - PPSSTH-469 - WAGGA WAGGA - DA24/0452

Dear Sir / Madam,

Please click to download a notification of a Planning Panel meeting. You are being notified as you made a submission to council regarding this matter.

If you have any queries please contact us on 8217 2060 or enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au.

Thank you,

## **Planning Panels Secretariat**

Planning Panels Secretariat 4PSQ, 12 Darcy Street, Parramatta NSW 2150 | Locked Bag 5022, Parramatta NSW 2124 T: 02 8217 2060 E: <u>enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au</u> T: 8217 2060 www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/planningpanels